

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/602,031	06/23/2003	Peter Norton	P-429	. 9547
7590 01/19/2005			EXAMINER	
Peter Norton			BEAULIEU, YONEL	
Post Office Box 62 Northville, MI 48167			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			DATE MAILED: 01/19/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		, if
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/602,031	NORTON, PETER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yonel Beaulieu	3661
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 l	December 2004.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the	awn from consideration. for election requirement. her. herecepted or b) objected to by he drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap ority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6 December 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's remarks filed 6 December 2004 appear to not specifically addressed the rejection of record. It is not readily understood by the Examiner as to what is being argued. While page 2 of the remarks refers to the claims being allowed in view of a Terminal Disclaimer, there is no record a Terminal Disclaimer has been filed by Applicant.

For at least the above, it is hereby noted Applicant's remarks are not fully responsive and that the rejection noted in the last Office action will still stand even as to the amended claims.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

Application/Control Number: 10/602,031

Art Unit: 3661

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 – 44 are still rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,584,387 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1 and 2, 24, 31, and 38 of this instant application are addressed with regard to claims 1, 23, 1 and 27, and 23 and 27, respectively, of the Patent; while the improvement in claim 1 omits the seat comprising a seat back and a seat cushion, that is addressed in claim 2 and would have been obvious regardless of the omission to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention that vehicle seat commonly include a seat back and a seat cushion and that the improvement provides the same end result of protecting an occupant of the vehicle; with

Art Unit: 3661

regard to claims 24 and 31 in the Application, it is clear from claims 1, 23 and 27 data is transmitted from the microprocessor; obviously, the microprocessor (receives) is responsive to the transmitted signal (data) as claimed; claim 38 in the Application is addressed considering claims 23 and 25 of the Patent and it would obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize that in order for the occupant of the vehicle to be protected, the protection means must be controlled by a decision making means (as established in the patent preamble).

Claims 3 - 23, 25 - 30, 32 - 37, and 39 - 44 in the Application are addressed with respect to dependent claims 3 - 22 and 24 - 26 in the Patent and the above obviousness statement still applies.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3661

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yonel Beaulieu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R, from 0900-1600.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas BLACK can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. BEAULIEU AU 3661